Feature From the National Academies

Understanding Our Audiences: The Design and Evolution of Science, Evolution, and Creationism

Jay B. Labov* and Barbara Kline Pope⁺

*National Academy of Sciences, Washington, DC 20001; and [†]Office of Communications and National Academies Press, Washington, DC 20001

INTRODUCTION

For many years, the National Academy of Sciences (NAS)¹ has been at the forefront in responding to challenges to the teaching of evolution, working with other national and state organizations with similar objectives (summarized in Alberts and Labov, 2004; Labov, 2005). The NAS has established a website² that makes freely available its three current publications on evolution education (NAS, 1998, 1999, 2004; National Research Council, 1996). Other publications about evolution (e.g., Hazen, 2005; Ayala, 2007), a variety of position papers, and links to evolution resources from other organizations comprise the balance of the site.

One of these publications, *Science and Creationism: A View from the National Academy of Sciences, 2nd ed.* (NAS, 1999), has been widely used by people in many communities and especially by legal scholars and practitioners. It has been a prominent resource for major court cases, including *Selman v. Cobb County Board of Education* and *Kitzmiller v. Dover Board of Education.* The first edition (NAS, 1984) was originally prepared as an amicus brief for the United States Supreme Court.³ These booklets were important because they provided courts, educators, policy makers, and the public with a clear synopsis of the kinds of evidence that support both the fact and the theory of evolution, and they offered succinct explanations of the processes and nature of science (Ayala, 2008).

The second edition of *Science and Creationism* was released just before the ascent of the intelligent design creationism

movement as a prominent voice in the "controversies" about evolution, and this publication devotes only two paragraphs to that challenge. Thus, the leaders of the NAS decided that an update to this booklet that addresses these more recent challenges was both timely and necessary. The new edition has been renamed Science, Evolution, and Creationism (SE&C) (Figure 1). Given the increasing importance of an understanding of evolution for prevention and treatment of disease, this new booklet has been developed jointly by the National Academy of Sciences and the Institute of Medicine (IOM).⁴ As with the two earlier editions, SE&C (NAS and IOM, 2008) was authored by a committee of prominent scientists, many of whom are members of the NAS or IOM. And, this committee includes two teacher leaders, both of whom have served as president of the National Association of Biology Teachers.

However, unlike its predecessors, this new edition was shaped to a large extent by a careful program of audience research. This research was initiated to bring about a better understanding of the frame of reference that the intended audiences bring to this issue. The committee decided early in the revision process that its goal was to successfully inform opinion leaders and influentials who could then use this information to help reframe⁵ discussions about the evolution "controversy." By presenting authoritative scientific information in ways that address the questions and concerns of those who are unsure about teaching evolution in science classrooms, the authoring committee would provide opinion leaders and influentials (scientists, business leaders, clergy, teachers, members of school boards, policy makers, judges, lawyers, and others) with the tools needed to change the understanding and decisions of other people who com-

DOI: 10.1187/cbe.07-12-0103

Address correspondence to: Jay B. Labov (jlabov@nas.edu).

¹ The National Academy of Sciences is a private, nonprofit, selfperpetuating society to which distinguished scholars are elected for their achievements in research, and is dedicated to the furtherance of science and technology and to their use for the general welfare. Upon the authority of the charter granted to it by the Congress in 1863, the Academy has a mandate to advise the Federal Government on scientific and technical matters.

Available at http://nationalacademies.org/evolution.

³ Edwards v. Aguillar, which ruled that the teaching of "creation science" is unconstitutional (the finding from this case is summarized in Figure 2).

⁴ The Institute of Medicine was established in 1970 by the National Academy of Sciences as both an honorific and a policy research organization, to which members are elected on the basis of their professional achievement and commitment to service in the examination of policy matters pertaining to the health of the public.

⁵ The importance of framing issues without compromising scientific accuracy or integrity when scientists communicate with policy makers and the public has been articulated recently by Nisbet and Mooney (2007) and Nisbet and Scheufele (2007).

prise the "wobbly middle." They defined the wobbly middle as the large percentage of citizens that various national polls have shown to be undecided about whether or not evolution, creationism, or some combination should be taught in public school science classrooms.

LISTENING TO INTENDED AUDIENCES

The first phases of our research took place before a single word of the 2nd edition of *Science and Creationism* was changed. A second effort was undertaken after a near final product was prepared.

The first audience research project involved receiving information from four focus groups so that we could qualitatively understand the extent to which the public understood the issues involved in the evolution-intelligent design creationism "controversy." These groups were also instrumental in determining the extent of peoples' understanding of the processes, nature, and limits of science. We tested the understanding of various messages about why nonscientific alternatives to evolution should not be taught in public school science classes.

Information garnered from these groups served as the basis for a quantitative telephone survey of 1000 people from across the United States to probe further some of these issues. This work was done in conjunction with the Coalition of Scientific Societies, a subset of a larger interdisciplinary coalition of >30 scientific, professional, and education societies and organizations. The list of all participating organizations in this coalition is found in Table 1. The data from this survey are summarized in a report from the Coalition of Scientific Societies (2007), and they are now being used by these organizations to inform their strategies and messages for confronting challenges to teaching evolution and related topics in other disciplines. This research also helped the committee to further refine the preparation of *SE&C*.

After the new edition of SE&C was drafted, we sent a composed and illustrated version of the booklet to represen-



Figure 1. Cover of Science, Evolution, and Creationism.

Table 1.	Organizations	involved	in the	coalition	for	evolution
----------	---------------	----------	--------	-----------	-----	-----------

Alliance for Human Research ProtectionAAlliance for ScienceAAmerican Anthropological AssociationAAmerican Association for the Advancement of ScienceEAmerican Association of Community CollegesEAmerican Association of Physics TeachersCAmerican Association of Physics TeachersCAmerican Astronomical SocietyEAmerican Chemical SocietyEAmerican Crystallographic AssociationEAmerican Geological InstituteCAmerican Institute of Biological SciencesNAmerican Physical SocietyEAmerican Physiological SocietyEAmerican Physiological SocietyEAmerican Society for Biochemistry and Molecular BiologyE	American Society for Investigative Pathology American Society for Pharmacological and Experimental Therapeutics American Sociological Association Biophysical Society Biotechnology Institute Coalition for Public Understanding of Science Consortium of Social Science Associations EnvironCorp Estuarine Research Federation Federation of American Societies for Experimental Biology Geological Society of America National Academy of Sciences National Academy of Sciences National Science Teachers Association Research America Sigma Xi Society for Developmental Biology Society for Neuroscience Society for the Study of Evolution
--	---

tatives from the aforementioned groups of "influentials" and we engaged them in in-depth interviews about the booklet to provide even more direction. Several people who have been directly involved in addressing the evolution "controversy" at local, state, and national levels also provided additional feedback. All of those responses were used to further refine the draft that underwent final review by the members of the governing councils of the NAS and IOM.

HIGHLIGHTS FROM SCIENCE, EVOLUTION, AND CREATIONISM

SE&C begins by laying out the goals and premises of the booklet. Three main chapters, "Evolution and the Nature of Science," "The Evidence for Biological Evolution," and "Creationist Perspectives," describe the booklet's main findings and premises. Following these chapters are nine "Frequently Asked Questions" about evolution, the nature of science, and what some people view as conflicts between science and religion (Table 2). The booklet also provides an extensive "Additional Reading" list and biographical sketches of committee members involved with the preparation of the booklet.

Based on what was learned from understanding our audiences, the organization and presentation of sections of the final product are different than what the authoring committee and project staff originally envisioned. For example, the committee began its work shortly after the decision of Judge John Jones III was announced in *Kitzmiller et al. v. Dover Board of Education.*⁶ The committee originally thought that this decision should be prominently touted throughout the booklet as one of the main reasons why various forms of creationism (including intelligent design) should not be taught in the science classroom—it's illegal. However, feedback from our research suggested that the public does not readily understand the role of the courts in such matters and believes that federal courts should not intervene in controversies in areas (such as school curriculum) that are viewed largely as locally controlled matters. Thus, although the booklet provides information about various court cases (Figure 2), these decisions are not featured as prominently as originally planned.

The booklet highlights the contributions of multiple disciplines to the science of evolution, and it emphasizes emerging sciences such as genomics and evolutionary/developmental biology (evo/devo). It provides a clear and succinct definition of science and an extensive discussion about the nature, processes, and the limits of science (e.g., science as currently defined and practiced seeks natural

Table 2. Frequently asked questions about science and religion from

 Science, Evolution, and Creationism

Questions about science Is evolution a theory or a fact?

- Can random biological changes lead to more adapted organisms?
- What evidence is there that the universe is billions of years old?
- What's wrong with teaching critical thinking about "controversies" with regard to evolution?

Aren't there many questions that still surround evolution? Questions about religion and science

- Aren't evolution and religion opposing ideas?
- Isn't belief in evolution also a matter of faith?
- What are common ideas regarding creationism?
- Wouldn't it be "fair" to teach creationism along with evolution?
- Does science disprove religion?

⁶ The judge's 139-page decision is available at http://www.pamd. uscourts.gov/kitzmiller/kitzmiller_342.pdf. The authors of this article recommend that all faculty who are trying to help students understand the processes, nature, and limits of science and why intelligent design is both religious and nonscientific should read this decision and share it with their students and professional colleagues.

Supreme Court of the United States, Epperson v. Arkansas, 1968

Government in our democracy, state and national, must be neutral in matters of religious theory, doctrine, and practice. It may not be hostile to any religion or to the advocacy of non-religion, and it may not aid, foster, or promote one religion or religious theory against another or even against the militant opposite.

Supreme Court of the United States, Edwards v. Aguillard, 1987

[The] primary purpose [of the Louisiana "Creation Act," which required the teaching of "creation science" together with evolution in public schools] was to change the public school science curriculum to provide persuasive advantage to a particular religious doctrine that rejects the factual basis of evolution in its entirety. Thus, the Act is designed either to promote the theory of creation science that embodies a particular religious tenet or to prohibit the teaching of a scientific theory disfavored by certain religious sects. In either case, the Act violates the First Amendment.

District Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania, Kitzmiller et al. v. Dover Area School District et al., 2005

[W]e find that ID [intelligent design] is not science and cannot be adjudged a valid, accepted scientific theory, as it has failed to publish in peer-reviewed journals, engage in research and testing, and gain acceptance in the scientific community. ID, as noted, is grounded in theology, not science... Moreover, ID's backers have sought to avoid the scientific scrutiny which we have now determined that it cannot withstand by advocating that the controversy, but not ID itself, should be taught in science class. This tactic is at best disingenuous, and at worst a canard. The goal of the IDM [intelligent design movement] is not to encourage critical thought, but to foment a revolution which would supplant evolutionary theory with ID.

explanations for phenomena and it is thus unable to investigate the supernatural). The science underlying evolution, including how we know what we know and evolution science's applications in medical, agricultural, industrial, and many other areas of scientific research and practice, are central themes of this updated version. A discussion called "Is Evolution a Fact or a Theory?" acknowledges the everyday definition of "theory" and then explains how scientists define and characterize facts and theories; it emphasizes that evolution is indeed both a well-documented fact and one of the most robust theories in all of science. **Figure 2.** Descriptions in *Science, Evolution, and Creationism* of landmark federal court cases on the teaching of evolution and nonscientific alternatives.

Compared with the previous two versions, there is more discussion in SE&C about how science and religion differ as ways of knowing and how, for many scientists and other people, acceptance of the evidence for evolution can be reconciled with personal faith. Published statements are provided from various religious denominations (Figure 3) and from prominent living scientists (Figure 4) declaring that acceptance of the evidence for evolution is compatible with the tenets of their faith.

SE&C is explicit about respecting the role of religion in individuals' lives, but not the introduction of religious and



"Creationists inevitably look for God in what they claim science cannot explain. Most scientists who are religious look for God in what science does understand and has explained."
"In my view, there is no conflict in being a rigorous scientist and a person who believes in a God who takes a personal interest in each one of us. Science's domain is in the spiritual world, a realm not possible to explore with the tools and language of science. It must be examined with the heart, the mind, and the soull."
"Our scientific understanding of the universe with the looks and Human Genome Person al Human Genome Person and Human Genome Research Institutes at the Ruman Bernome Busen Ruman Human Genome Ruman Hu

Figure 3. Published statements from several religious denominations on the compatibility of evolution and the tenets of their faith.

other nonscientific ideas into public school science classrooms. The booklet stresses the need to teach fundamental and long-accepted scientific concepts such as evolution to provide students with deeper understanding and appreciation of science and medicine. *SE&C* also makes clear why various forms of creationism, including intelligent design, are not scientific and should not be promulgated as acceptable "alternatives" to evolution in science courses under the guise of "fairness," "academic freedom," or "teaching the controversy."

SE&C is available for reading, purchase, or as a free PDF download on the National Academies Press website.⁷ The NAS will work with the organizations in the coalition of scientific societies and especially with the organizations representing science teachers to distribute printed copies widely. For example, teachers who participated in the annual meeting of the National Association of Biology Teachers in Atlanta in November 2007 were able to submit requests to receive free copies after the booklet and 8-page brochure were released in January 2008.

Similarly, the first 500 readers of *CBE—Life Sciences Education* who submit code number SEC01 to http://nasonline. org/SECbookletrequest also will receive a free copy of the booklet.

If our nation is to continue to develop the talent necessary to advance scientific and medical research, we must ensure that high standards in science education are maintained and that efforts to introduce nonscience into science classes do not succeed. Failure to reach out effectively to a public that is supportive of science and open to information from the scientific community is not just a missed opportunity; it is a disservice to the scientific enterprise.

(Coalition of Professional Societies, 2007, p. 7)

SE&C was developed and organized by an expert committee of the NAS to help influentials better understand and thereby explain the principles of science in general, and evolution specifically, to other people with whom they interact. It makes clear that acceptance of the overwhelming and continually growing body of evidence for evolution need not be in conflict with religious beliefs for many people. Many students enter high school and college science courses harboring exactly these kinds of conflicts. The NAS and the IOM encourage science faculty to obtain a copy of this booklet and to use it as an authoritative resource to help communicate more effectively with the general public, and to address and assuage many of the concerns that our students bring with them to our classrooms and laboratories.

REFERENCES

Alberts, B., and Labov, J. B. (2004). From the National Academies: teaching the science of evolution. Cell Biol. Educ. *3*, 75–80.

Ayala, F. J. (2007). Darwin's Gift to Science and Religion, Washington, DC: Joseph Henry Press.

Ayala, F. J. (2008). Editorial: science, evolution, and creationism. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *105*, 3–4. http://www.pnas.org/cgp/ content/full/105/1/3. (accessed 21 January 2008).

Coalition of Scientific Societies (2007). You Say You Want an Evolution? A Role for Scientists in Science Education. http://evolution. faseb.org/sciencecoalition (accessed 21 January 2008).

Hazen, R. (2005). Genesis: The Scientific Quest for Life's Origins, Washington, DC: Joseph Henry Press.

Labov, J. B. (2005). From the National Academies: ongoing challenges to evolution education: resources and activities of the National Academies. Cell Biol. Educ. 4, 269–272.

National Academy of Sciences (1984). Science and Creationism: A View from the National Academy of Sciences, Washington, DC: National Academies Press.

National Academy of Sciences (1998). Teaching About Evolution and the Nature of Science. http://nap.edu/catalog/5787.html (accessed 21 January 2008).

National Academy of Sciences (1999). Science and Creationism: A View from the National Academy of Sciences, 2nd ed., Washington, DC: National Academies Press. http://books.nap.edu/catalog/ 6024.html (accessed 21 January 2008).

National Academy of Sciences (2004). Evolution in Hawaii: A Supplement to Teaching About Evolution and the Nature of Science. Washington, DC: National Academies Press. http://books.nap.edu/catalog/10865.html (accessed 21 January 2008).

National Academy of Sciences and Institute of Medicine (2008). Science, Evolution, and Creationism, Washington, DC: National Academies Press. http://nap.edu/sec (accessed 21 January 2008).

National Research Council (1996). National Science Education Standards, Washington, DC: National Academies Press. http://books. nap.edu/catalog/4962.html (accessed 21 January 2008).

Nisbet, M. C., and Mooney, C. (2007). Framing science. Science 316, 56.

Nisbet, M. C., and Scheufele, D. A. (2007). The future of public engagement. Scientist 21, 1–8. http://www.the-scientist.com/article/print/53611/(accessed 21 January 2008).

⁷ Available at http://nap.edu/sec.