APPENDIX F

RATING FORM AND RUBRICS FOR SCORING SENIOR INTERVIEWS

RATING FORM FOR SENIOR INTERVIEWS

Interviewer name:			
Date:			
Time:			
Voice Recorder: recorder #:	folder:	file #:	

To the interviewer: For each student that you interview, provide ratings for some or all levels of Part I of the table below. You must provide a rating, based on the two rubrics provided to you, for Parts II and III.

	Question Category	Rating (5 to 1)
Part I	Knowledge/comprehension	
	Application	
	Analysis	
	Synthesis	
	Evaluation	
Part	Lower Level	
II	(knowledge/comprehension/application)	
	Higher Level	
	(analysis, synthesis, evaluation)	
Part	Overall	
III		

RUBRICS FOR SCORING INTERVIEW RESPONSES

The criteria for each of the two rubrics is indicative of the types of cognitive skills our faculty believe a biology major should demonstrate prior to graduating.

Rating	Criteria
Level 5 - Excellent	 Factually correct
	• Can fully explain, describe, and
	defend answers given
	• Can give excellent examples for a
	topic or concept
	• Can fully apply concepts addressed
	as indicated by making correct
	predictions, solving problems, etc.
Level 4 – Good	• Same as level 5 but has minor
	omissions or errors
Level 3 - Adequate	• Has some factual content wrong
	• Has some significant omissions in
	explanations, descriptions, and
	defense of answers given
	 Has difficulty providing examples
	for a topic or concept
Level 2 - Below average	• Same as level 3 but has more
	significant errors and omissions
Level 1 – Unsatisfactory	• Does not know the material
	 Lacks understanding of topics and
	concepts addressed

RUBRIC FOR SCORING LOWER-LEVEL QUESTIONS

RUBRIC FOR SCORING HIGHER LEVEL QUESTIONS

Rating	Criteria
Level 5 - Excellent	• Can fully explain how various
	components of a concept or process
	fit together
	• Uses content accurately to explain
	the higher level parts of the
	questions
	• Can predict an outcome and explain
	completely the steps involved to
	reach that outcome
	• Can accurately and fully compare
	and contrast concepts or situations
	 Understands how concepts inter-
	relate and can analyze problems
	from different points of view
	• Can take new information and
	integrate it correctly and fully with
	prior knowledge
	• Can design original problems or
	research studies
	• Can combine parts to parts to make
	a new whole
	• Can articulate a persuasive
	argument in defense of an idea or
	solution.
Level 4 – Good	• Same as level 5 but has some
	difficulties or weaknesses in
	responses
Level 3 - Adequate	• Has some significant inadequacies
	in when breaking down information
	into component parts, combining
	parts to make a new whole, and/or
	judging the value or worth of information or ideas.
Level 2 Palow average	
Level 2 - Below average	
	significant errors, omissions, and
Level 1 Unsetisfactory	lack of cognitive skills.
Level 1 – Unsatisfactory	• Lacks skills necessary to apply,
	synthesis, and evaluate information and ideas.
	and ideas.