

CORRECTED

Supplemental Material

CBE—Life Sciences Education

Hanauer et al.

Appendix One: The Faculty Self-Reported Assessment Survey (FRAS)

	I have never heard this term before	I have heard this term before but do not know what it means	I have heard this term before but am not confident I know what it means	I have heard this term before and have a sense of what it means	I am completely familiar with this term and know what it means
<i>Assessment program</i>					
<i>Student learning outcomes</i>					
<i>Student competencies</i>					
<i>Formative assessment</i>					
<i>Summative assessment</i>					
<i>Portfolio</i>					
<i>Assessment task</i>					
<i>Performance assessment</i>					
<i>Authentic assessment</i>					
<i>Alternative assessment</i>					
<i>Problem Solving Questions</i>					
<i>Scenario Questions</i>					
<i>Rubrics</i>					
<i>Analytic scales</i>					
<i>Holistic scales</i>					
<i>Assessment validity</i>					
<i>Item discrimination</i>					
<i>Assessment reliability</i>					
<i>Content validity</i>					
<i>Item difficulty</i>					
<i>Inter-rater reliability</i>					
<i>Intra-rater reliability</i>					
<i>Test-retest reliability</i>					
<i>Internal consistency</i>					
	Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	All of the Time
<i>I have constructed</i>					

<i>an assessment plan for my course</i>					
<i>I have defined student learning outcomes for my course</i>					
<i>I have written formative assessments</i>					
<i>I have written summative assessments</i>					
<i>I have provided feedback to students based on formative assessments</i>					
<i>I have provided feedback to students based on summative assessments</i>					
<i>I have written a report based on assessment data</i>					
	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
<i>I am confident in my ability to define the important components of my course</i>					
<i>I am confident in my ability to define my course in terms of student learning outcomes</i>					
<i>I am confident in my ability to design formative assessments</i>					
<i>I am confident in my ability to evaluate the quality of the assessments I have designed</i>					
<i>I am confident in my ability to analyze the formative assessments I have designed</i>					
<i>I am confident in</i>					

<i>my ability to analyze the summative assessments I have designed</i>					
<i>I am confident in my ability to provide students with relevant feedback based on the formative assessments I have designed.</i>					
<i>I am confident in my ability to explain to specific students the outcomes of their summative assessment performance</i>					
<i>I am confident in my ability to report assessment outcomes to administrators</i>					
<i>I am confident that my assessments accurately reflect the teaching objectives of my course</i>					
<i>Overall I am confident in my abilities to appropriately assess my course and my students</i>					
	Extremely Negative	Negative	Neutral	Positive	Extremely Positive
<i>How do you feel about using assessment in your course</i>					
<i>How do you feel about reporting to faculty on assessment of your class</i>					
<i>How do you feel about reporting to administration on assessments of your class</i>					
<i>How do you feel about providing feedback to your students based on</i>					

<i>formative assessment</i>					
<i>How do you feel about providing feedback to students based on summative assessment</i>					

Appendix Table A. Statistical indicators for data set corresponding to Figure 1 in Hanauer & Bauerle, 2014. Mean, Standard Deviation (S.D.) and significance values for faculty responses to *Frequency of Assessment* queries; median, range (R) and interquartile range (IQR) values are also included for Advanced (n = 11) and Novice (n = 17) responses.

Frequency of Assessment Activity	Mean (S.D.)		Mann-Whitney U Test	Two-tailed Sig.	Median (IQR, R)	
	Advanced	Novice			Advanced	Novice
I have constructed an assessment plan for my course	4.18 (1.07)	3.24 (1.3)	68.5**	0.01	5 (2, 3)	4 (2, 4)
I have defined student learning outcomes for my course	4.73 (0.46)	2.29 (1.49)	21**	0.001	5 (1, 1)	2 (3, 4)
I have written formative assessments	4.55 (0.68)	2.59 (1.41)	48.5**	0.003	5 (1, 2)	2 (2, 4)
I have written summative assessments	4.55 (0.68)	2.59 (1.69)	36.5**	0.001	5 (1, 2)	2 (3, 4)
I have provided feedback to students based on formative assessments	4.64 (0.67)	2.88 (1.86)	48.5**	0.002	5 (1, 2)	4 (4, 4)
I have provided feedback to students based on summative assessments	4.36 (0.92)	2.59 (1.66)	42**	0.001	5 (2, 2)	3 (3, 4)
I have written a report based on assessment data	3.45 (1.57)	2.65 (1.8)	96.5	0.3	4 (3, 4)	2 (4, 4)

Appendix Table B. Statistical indicators for data set corresponding to Figure 1 in Hanauer & Bauerle, 2014. Mean, Standard Deviation (S.D.) and significance values for faculty responses to *Confidence in Assessment Ability* queries; median, range (R) and interquartile range (IQR) values are also included for Advanced (n = 11) and Novice (n = 17) responses.

Confidence in Assessment Ability	Mean (S.D.)		Mann-Whitney U Test	Two-tailed Sig.	Median (IQR, R)	
	Advanced	Novice			Advanced	Novice
to define the important components of my course	4.91 (0.3)	2.06 (1.2)	8**	0.001	5 (0, 1)	2 (2, 4)
to define my course is terms of student learning outcomes	4.82 (0.4)	4.47 (0.71)	114	0.5	5 (0, 1)	5 (1, 2)
to define my course in terms of student competencies	4.18 (0.87)	4.41 (0.71)	100.5	0.2	4 (1, 3)	5 (1, 2)
to design formative assessments	4.45 (0.52)	2.59 (1.12)	39.5**	0.001	4 (1, 1)	2 (2, 4)
to design summative assessments	4.55 (0.52)	2.76 (1.25)	24**	0.001	5 (1, 1)	3 (3, 4)
to evaluate the quality of the assessments I have designed	4.36 (1.02)	2.88 (1.36)	57**	0.009	5 (1, 3)	3 (3, 4)
to analyze the formative assessments I have designed	4.27 (0.64)	2.59 (0.43)	48.5**	0.002	4 (1, 2)	2 (2, 4)
to analyze the summative assessments I have designed	4.45 (0.52)	2.88 (1.21)	34**	0.001	4 (1, 1)	3 (2, 4)
to provide students with relevant feedback based on the formative assessments I have designed.	4.45 (0.52)	3.06 (1.29)	49.5**	0.003	4 (1, 1)	3 (2, 4)
to explain to specific students the outcomes of their summative assessment performance	4.55 (0.68)	2.71 (1.26)	27**	0.001	5 (1, 2)	3 (3, 4)
to report assessment outcomes to administrators	4.36 (0.92)	2.94 (1.39)	53.5	0.005	5 (1, 3)	3 (3, 4)
I am confident that my assessments accurately reflect the teaching objectives of my course	4.36 (0.5)	2.65 (1.27)	26**	0.001	4 (1, 1)	3 (3, 4)
Overall I am confident in my abilities to appropriately assess my course and my students	4.45 (0.52)	2.82 (1.33)	43.5**	0.001	4 (1, 1)	3 (2, 4)

Appendix Table C. Statistical indicators for data set corresponding to Figure 1 in Hanauer & Bauerle, 2014. Mean, Standard Deviation (S.D.) and significance values for faculty responses to *Attitude toward Assessment* queries; median, range (R) and interquartile range (IQR) values are also included for Advanced (n = 11) and Novice (n = 17) responses.

Attitude toward Assessment	Mean (S.D.)		Mann-Whitney U Test	Two-tailed Sig.	Median (IQR, R)	
	Advanced	Novice			Advanced	Novice
using assessment in your course	4.55 (0.68)	3.06 (1.34)	52**	0.003	5 (1, 2)	3 (2, 4)
reporting to faculty on assessments of your class	4.45 (0.68)	3.88 (0.85)	102	0.2	5 (1, 2)	4 (2, 2)
reporting to administration on assessments of your class	4.09 (0.94)	3.76 (0.75)	114.5	0.5	4 (2, 2)	4 (1, 2)
providing feedback to your students based on formative assessment	4.55 (0.68)	3.12 (1.21)	41.5**	0.001	5 (1, 2)	3 (2, 4)
providing feedback to students based on summative assessment	4.64 (0.67)	3.82 (0.88)	60.5**	0.009	5 (1, 2)	4 (2, 2)