

# Supplemental Material

*CBE—Life Sciences Education*

Erdmann and Stains

**Supplementary Materials to:**

**Classroom as genome:**

**Using the tools of genomics and bioinformatics to illuminate classroom observation data**

Robert M. Erdmann and Marilynne Stains

Department of Chemistry, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, NE 68588-0304

Contact: rerdmann@unl.edu, mstains2@unl.edu

**Table of Contents**

Selected classroom observation protocols with a real-time component .....	2
COPUS coding.....	3
FIA coding.....	3
Transforming COPUS and FIA data into bed file form .....	4
Performing ends analysis with genomic scripts.....	7
Interpreting ends analysis plots.....	7
Construction of Figure 4 .....	8
Using Circos to visualize classroom observations.....	10
Supplemental Material References .....	19

## Selected classroom observation protocols with a real-time component

Name	Description/purpose	Citation
Classroom Observation Protocol for Undergraduate STEM (COPUS)	Allows users “to reliably characterize how faculty and students are spending their time in the classroom;” uses 25 codes applied within two minute blocks.	Smith <i>et al.</i> , 2013
Decibel Analysis for Research in Teaching (DART)	“DART analyzes the volume and variance of classroom recordings to predict the quantity of time spent on single voice (e.g., lecture), multiple voice (e.g., pair discussion), and no voice (e.g., clicker question thinking) activities.”	Owens <i>et al.</i> , 2017
Flanders Interaction Analysis (FIA)	A system for characterizing verbal interactions between instructors and students; uses 10 codes applied within three second blocks.	Amidon and Flanders, 1967
Laboratory Observation Protocol for Undergraduate STEM (LOPUS)	“LOPUS captures both students’ and TAs’ behaviors every 2 minutes as well as initiators of verbal interactions and the nature of these verbal interactions (e.g., data analysis, explanation of concepts).”	Velasco <i>et al.</i> , 2016
Observation Protocol for Active Learning (OPAL)	OPAL is “a visual approach to integrating observational data into self-evaluation and peer review of teaching.”	Frey <i>et al.</i> , 2016
Practical Observation Rubric To Assess Active Learning (PORTAAL)	PORTAAL “identifies 21 readily implemented elements that have been shown to increase student outcomes related to achievement, logic development, or other relevant learning goals with college-age students;” the observation log contains more real-time information than the output summary scores.	Eddy <i>et al.</i> , 2015
Real-time Instructor Observing Tool (RIOT)	RIOT is “a computerized real-time instructor observation tool to take data of student-instructor interactions.”	West <i>et al.</i> , 2013
Real-time Professional Development Observation Protocol (RPDOT)	RPDOT is designed “to document the form and focus of faculty engagement during workshops.”	Olmstead and Turpen, 2016
Teaching Dimensions Observation Protocol (TDOP)	TDOP is intended to “capture the dynamics among teaching methods (e.g., lecture, small-group discussion), use of instructional technology (e.g., clickers, PowerPoint), and students’ cognitive engagement (i.e., the types of student thinking evoked by the instruction).”	Hora and Ferrare, 2013
VaNTH Observation System (VOS)	VOS is a four part system, of which two parts (the Classroom Interaction Observation (CIO) and the Student Engagement Observation (SEO)) are linked to the progression of time within the classroom.	Harris and Cox, 2003

## COPUS coding

The COPUS data utilized in this essay originated from the data set described in Lund et al. (2015), where complete details of coding and collection can be found. In summary, 269 classroom observations were coded, with sampled instructors derived from two populations: attendees of the Cottrell Scholars Collaborative New Faculty Workshop (CSC NFW) for early-career chemistry faculty at research-intensive institutions, and STEM faculty from a range of disciplines working at a single Midwestern research-intensive university. Between the two groups, 73 instructors were observed. All 25 COPUS codes were coded for each of the 269 videos. A test of interrater reliability provided an average Cohen's kappa score of 0.852 for the instructor codes and 0.908 for the student codes. While the analysis of Lund et al. (2015) relied on the percentage of each observation's two-minute time blocks that were coded for each code, our current analyses utilize the original, uncompressed coding output, with information for each code's presence or absence at each two minute interval.

## FIA coding

The Flanders Interaction Analysis (FIA; Amidon and Flanders, 1967) observation protocol was applied to a subset of the videos that had been coded for COPUS within the data set described above. Unlike COPUS, FIA only allows for the coding of a single code within any given three second time block. In cases where code transitions did not correspond exactly with time block boundaries, the code occupying the majority of the time block was recognized. However, if a code would be ignored under this rule but would be essential to understanding the sequencing of verbal interactions (for example, a quick "very good" response given by an instructor in response to a student's answer, taking less than half of the time block), that code was given a single three second time block before coding the following interaction type.

Five videos were randomly selected from the 18 videos categorized as "Socratic (at board)" in Lund et al. (2015). "Socratic (at board)" was specifically selected due to the fact that a relative abundance of questions might be expected within the observations, which fit well with the intent of the related case study. One of the five videos, composed of 977 three second time blocks in total, was tested for intrarater reliability, with a resulting Fleiss' kappa value of 0.929.

## Transforming COPUS and FIA data into bed file form

The method for converting code information into a bed file format can vary depending on how the source data is formatted. Our FIA coding spreadsheets were formatted in the style displayed below:

0	3	6	9	12	15	18	21	24	27	30	33	36	39	42	45	48	51	54	57	60
0	5	5	5	5	5	10	10	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
1	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	2	2	2
2	5	5	2	5	5	5	4	2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
3	5	5	5	5	5	2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	5	5

...with additional rows stretching below. There are no text labels here, as we would soon convert the spreadsheet file into a tab delimited text file, and the numbers were the important information needed.

The top row indicates the time within a single minute in seconds, with the number at the top of a column indicating the end point for a coded time span. Meanwhile, the left-most column indicates the minute within the observation, starting with the zero of the second row. As an example, the “4” found in the fourth row and the eighth column corresponds to an FIA code of an instructor posing a non-rhetorical question to students within the span from 2 minutes 18 seconds to 2 minutes 21 seconds into the recording.

Once this information was saved as a tab delimited text file, we utilized the statistical program R (R Core Team, 2016) in order to automate much of the remaining conversion process. Again, our approach was specific to the format seen above, and would need to be modified for other arrangements. This is an annotated example of a segment of the code that could be used to perform this transformation:

```
bedchr59 <- read.table("FI Agri d_32j J4av1_01_test.txt", sep =  
"\t", header = FALSE)
```

```
# Above, we are reading our text file into R so that we can transform it. "bedchr59" refers to  
the fact that this was the 59th observation within our dataset.
```

```
bedcolumn2 <- numeric()  
bedcolumn3 <- numeric()
```

# Above, we are creating a pair of empty vectors that will be filled with the start and end times for each segment coded as FIA code 2 (instructor praise or encouragement).

```
# 59minute0 -----  
-----
```

```
#ONEROWBLOCK - minute 0
```

```
#1
```

```
chr59startat0 <- bedchr59[2, 2]  
if (chr59startat0 == "2") {  
  bedcol umn2 <- c(bedcol umn2, (bedchr59[1, 1]+(bedchr59[2, 1]*60)))  
  bedcol umn3 <-  
c(bedcol umn3, (3+bedchr59[1, 1]+(bedchr59[2, 1]*60)))  
}
```

#Above, we examine the intersection of the second row and second column, and if that position is filled with a "2," we insert the start time and the end time in seconds in each of the respective vectors.

```
#2
```

```
chr59startat0 <- bedchr59[2, 3]  
if (chr59startat0 == "1") {  
  bedcol umn2 <- c(bedcol umn2, (bedchr59[1, 2]+(bedchr59[2, 1]*60)))  
  bedcol umn3 <-  
c(bedcol umn3, (3+bedchr59[1, 2]+(bedchr59[2, 1]*60)))  
}
```

```
...
```

# The same process continues at the next position over. We can repeat this process across each row and for each column (not shown here) until we've checked the entire document and extracted all of the encoded 2's.

```
...
```

```
if (length(bedcol umn2) != 0) {  
  chr197dataframe <- data.frame(chr = c("chr197"), start =  
bedcol umn2, end = bedcol umn3)  
}
```

# Here, we see if there is anything in the start position vector (it is common to have certain codes never show up in a classroom observation) for the 197th observation, and if there is something there, we create a data frame that puts together the three columns that define the basic bed file structure.

```
general dataframe<-  
data.frame(chr=doubl e(), start=factor(), end=factor())
```

```
if (exists("chr59dataframe") != FALSE) {  
general dataframe<- rbi nd(general dataframe, chr59dataframe)  
}
```

```
if (exists("chr197dataframe") != FALSE) {
  general dataframe<- rbind(general dataframe, chr197dataframe)
}
```

# Here, we work to combine the data frames from multiple observations into a single, unified data frame.

```
completeddataframe<- general dataframe
write.table(completeddataframe, file =
"FIAbedfile_2_unmerged.bed", sep = "\t", row.names = FALSE)
```

# Finally, we export our data frame into a bed file.

Astute readers will notice that the export file name includes “unmerged.” This derives from the fact that even if the same code occurs in multiple adjacent time slots, the bed file will contain separate entries for each. Sometimes this can be useful, but in general, when things occur in a block we would like our bed file to reflect that.

Our sample bed unmerged bed file from above begins:

```
"chr"      "start" "end"
"chr59"    111     114
"chr59"    114     117
"chr59"    117     120
"chr59"    126     129
"chr59"    141     144
```

The first three entries are all back-to-back. If we would like to merge them, we can use the “merge” functionality of the bedtools suite (Quinlan & Hall, 2010), after using a text editor find and replace tool to remove the quotation marks around “chr59” and the top row of headers (hence, the input file labeled “unmerged2”):

```
bedtools merge -i FIAbedfile_2_unmerged2.bed -c 4 -o max >
FIAbedfile_2_merged.bed
```

This output bed file is what we would actually use in our downstream analyses:

```
Chr59 111 120 1
Chr59 126 129 1
Chr59 141 144 1
Chr59 195 198 1
Chr59 471 477 1
```

A column of ones was added to denote that these positions “have” the code – these may or may not be necessary, depending on the situation (see “Methodology for Implementation” section in the main essay for related discussion).

This is a general overview that shows just one possible approach – a variety of scripts can be written in R or in other programming languages to accomplish this same task.

## Performing ends analysis with genomic scripts

The scripts used to perform ends analysis are derived from scripts available at [https://github.com/clp90/Genome Biology 2017](https://github.com/clp90/Genome_Biology_2017) (Picard & Gehring, 2017). Scripts of interest include:

Primary script:

ends\_analysis.sh

Helper scripts:

ends\_analysis\_get\_intervals.py

ends\_analysis\_make\_matrix.py

ends\_analysis\_make\_plot.R

ends\_analysis\_process\_intersect.py

For the purposes of our essay, modifications were made to the scripts in order to adjust for differences in the data. For instance, the ends\_analysis\_make\_plot.R script was adjusted to change the x-axis labels (seconds are more appropriate than kilobases in our case), as well as the colors. Further modifications to output plots were made using Adobe Illustrator – for instance, displaying only the “ending” half of the ends analysis output for the three parts of Figure 3.

## Interpreting ends analysis plots

When reading an ends analysis plot, it is important to connect the way in which the lines of data are drawn with the structure of the source data. The bends in each line, which correspond to the actual input data values, are found at the midpoint of a timespan for a code. For instance, in Figure 2, the first span of time following the start of the clicker question code runs from 0 seconds to +120 seconds, while the lines bend at +60 seconds. The value of the line at +60 seconds represents the entire 0 to 120 second span. Therefore, the points along the line that fall between two data points, while showing the connection between the surrounding values, are not meaningful in and of themselves (i.e. the value at the 0 second point is merely the midpoint between the values at -60 seconds and +60 seconds, rather than reflecting an independent data reading). The same idea holds for Figure 3, with data plotted every 3 seconds and bends in the line occurring at the 1.5 second midpoint of each time span.

## Construction of Figure 4

In order to calculate relative distance distributions, the Bedtools command “reldist” was used. For example:

### Command

```
bedtool srel dist -a FIAbedfile_4_merged_no97.bed -b  
COPUSbedfile_PQ_merged_5chrsforFI Acomp.txt
```

### Output

rel dist	count	total	fraction
0.00	17	203	0.084
0.01	7	203	0.034
0.02	1	203	0.005
0.03	2	203	0.010
0.04	5	203	0.025
0.05	4	203	0.020
0.06	5	203	0.025
0.07	6	203	0.030
0.08	4	203	0.020
0.09	6	203	0.030
0.10	10	203	0.049
0.11	6	203	0.030
0.12	3	203	0.015
0.13	6	203	0.030
0.14	4	203	0.020
0.15	4	203	0.020
0.16	3	203	0.015
0.17	5	203	0.025
0.18	7	203	0.034
0.19	8	203	0.039
0.20	3	203	0.015
0.21	7	203	0.034
0.22	3	203	0.015
0.23	3	203	0.015
0.24	5	203	0.025
0.25	6	203	0.030
0.26	5	203	0.025
0.27	4	203	0.020
0.28	4	203	0.020
0.29	2	203	0.010
0.30	5	203	0.025
0.31	5	203	0.025
0.32	2	203	0.010
0.33	2	203	0.010
0.34	3	203	0.015
0.35	2	203	0.010
0.36	1	203	0.005
0.37	3	203	0.015
0.38	1	203	0.005
0.39	2	203	0.010
0.40	1	203	0.005

0.41	3	203	0.015
0.42	2	203	0.010
0.43	1	203	0.005
0.44	6	203	0.030
0.45	2	203	0.010
0.46	2	203	0.010
0.47	1	203	0.005
0.48	3	203	0.015
0.49	1	2030	0.005

This was repeated for each of the 24 combinations displayed in Figure 4. The fraction values from the command output, along with the relative distance values, were input into the graphing program Graphpad Prism 7. Linear regression was performed for each set of data, using the least squares method. The results of this linear regression analysis are the source for the lines displayed in Figure 4.

## Using Circos to visualize classroom observations

Circos is a software tool originally developed for displaying genomic information in a circular motif (Krzywinski *et al.*, 2009). Extensive documentation is available at [circos.ca](http://circos.ca). Below we provide the configuration file used to create Figure 5 in the essay:

```
<col ors>
<<i ncl ude col ors. conf>>
</col ors>

<fonts>
<<i ncl ude fonts. conf>>
</fonts>

<i deogram>

<spaci ng>

default t = 0.0020r
break     = 0.0050r
<pai rwi se Chr197 Chr59>
spaci ng = 16r
</pai rwi se>

</spaci ng>

radi us           = 0.88r
thi ckness       = 70p
fi ll            = yes
fi ll_col or     = bl ack
stroke_thi ckness = 2
stroke_col or    = bl ack
show_label      = yes
label_font      = default
label_radi us   = 1.07r
label_wi th_tag = yes
label_si ze     = 20
label_paral lel = yes
label_case      = upper

label_format     = eval (sprintf(var(label)))

show_bands       = yes
fi ll_bands     = yes
band_stroke_thi ckness = 2
band_stroke_col or   = whi te
band_transparency = 4
```

</i deogram>

show\_ticks = yes  
show\_tick\_labels = yes

<ticks>  
skip\_first\_label = no  
skip\_last\_label = no  
radius = dims(i deogram, radius\_outer)  
tick\_separation = 2p  
label\_separation = 5p  
multiplier = 1  
color = black  
thickness = 4p  
size = 20p

<tick>  
spacing = 1u  
show\_label = no  
thickness = 2p  
color = dgrey  
</tick>

<tick>  
spacing = 2u  
show\_label = yes  
label\_size = 40p  
label\_offset = 5p  
format = %d  
grid = yes  
grid\_color = dgrey  
grid\_thickness = 1p  
grid\_start = 0.5r  
grid\_end = 0.999r  
</tick>

</ticks>

<image>  
angle\_offset\* = -90  
<<include image.conf>>  
</image>

karyotype = COPUS269karyotype.txt

chromosomes\_units = 600  
chromosomes\_display\_default = no  
chromosomes = "Chr156; Chr104; Chr107; Chr59; Chr197"

<plots>

```

type = heatmap
color = black,paired-12-qual
stroke_thickness = 0.00
stroke_color = black

#
# Instructor plots
#

<plot> #1A
file = 269karyotype_inbedforbackground.bed
r1 = 0.96r
r0 = 0.93r
color = vlpurple
</plot>

<plot> #1B
file = FIAbedfile_2_merged.bed
r1 = 0.96r
r0 = 0.93r
color = vdpurple
</plot>

<plot> #2A
file = 269karyotype_inbedforbackground.bed
r1 = 0.93r
r0 = 0.90r
color = vlred
</plot>

<plot> #2B
file = FIAbedfile_3_merged.bed
r1 = 0.93r
r0 = 0.90r
color = vdred
</plot>

<plot> #3A
file = 269karyotype_inbedforbackground.bed
r1 = 0.90r
r0 = 0.87r
color = vlblue
</plot>

<plot> #3B
file = FIAbedfile_4_merged.bed
r1 = 0.90r
r0 = 0.87r
color = vdblue
</plot>

<plot> #4A
file = 269karyotype_inbedforbackground.bed
r1 = 0.87r

```

```
r0 = 0.84r  
color = vl orange  
</plot>
```

```
<plot> #4B  
file = FIAbedfile_5_merged.bed  
r1 = 0.87r  
r0 = 0.84r  
color = vdorange  
</plot>
```

```
<plot> #5A  
file = 269karyotype_inbedforbackground.bed  
r1 = 0.84r  
r0 = 0.81r  
color = vl green  
</plot>
```

```
<plot> #5B  
file = FIAbedfile_7_merged.bed  
r1 = 0.84r  
r0 = 0.81r  
color = vdgreen  
</plot>
```

```
<plot> #6A  
file = 269karyotype_inbedforbackground.bed  
r1 = 0.81r  
r0 = 0.78r  
color = vl purple  
</plot>
```

```
<plot> #6B  
file = FIAbedfile_8_merged.bed  
r1 = 0.81r  
r0 = 0.78r  
color = vdpurple  
</plot>
```

```
<plot> #7A  
file = 269karyotype_inbedforbackground.bed  
r1 = 0.78r  
r0 = 0.75r  
color = vl red  
</plot>
```

```
<plot> #7B  
file = FIAbedfile_9_merged.bed  
r1 = 0.78r  
r0 = 0.75r  
color = vdred  
</plot>
```

```
<plot> #8A
```

```
file = 269karyotype_inbedforbackground.bed  
r1 = 0.75r  
r0 = 0.72r  
color = vlblue  
</plot>
```

```
<plot> #8B  
file = FIAbedfile_10_merged.bed  
r1 = 0.75r  
r0 = 0.72r  
color = vdblue  
</plot>
```

```
#
```

```
<plot> #9A  
file = 269karyotype_inbedforbackground.bed  
r1 = 0.67r  
r0 = 0.64r  
color = vlpurple  
</plot>
```

```
<plot> #9B  
file = COPUSbedfile_L_merged.bed  
r1 = 0.67r  
r0 = 0.64r  
color = vdpurple  
</plot>
```

```
<plot> #10A  
file = 269karyotype_inbedforbackground.bed  
r1 = 0.64r  
r0 = 0.61r  
color = vlred  
</plot>
```

```
<plot> #10B  
file = COPUSbedfile_Ind_merged.bed  
r1 = 0.64r  
r0 = 0.61r  
color = vdred  
</plot>
```

```
<plot> #11A  
file = 269karyotype_inbedforbackground.bed  
r1 = 0.61r  
r0 = 0.58r  
color = vlblue  
</plot>
```

```
<plot> #11B  
file = COPUSbedfile_SAnQ_merged.bed  
r1 = 0.61r
```

```
r0 = 0.58r  
color = vdblue  
</plot>
```

```
<plot> #12A  
file = 269karyotype_inbedforbackground.bed  
r1 = 0.58r  
r0 = 0.55r  
color = vlorange  
</plot>
```

```
<plot> #12B  
file = COPUSbedfile_SQ_merged.bed  
r1 = 0.58r  
r0 = 0.55r  
color = vdorange  
</plot>
```

```
<plot> #13A  
file = 269karyotype_inbedforbackground.bed  
r1 = 0.55r  
r0 = 0.52r  
color = vlgreen  
</plot>
```

```
<plot> #13B  
file = COPUSbedfile_SW_merged.bed  
r1 = 0.55r  
r0 = 0.52r  
color = vdgreen  
</plot>
```

```
<plot> #14A  
file = 269karyotype_inbedforbackground.bed  
r1 = 0.52r  
r0 = 0.49r  
color = vlpurple  
</plot>
```

```
<plot> #14B  
file = COPUSbedfile_Lec_merged.bed  
r1 = 0.52r  
r0 = 0.49r  
color = vdpurple  
</plot>
```

```
<plot> #15A  
file = 269karyotype_inbedforbackground.bed  
r1 = 0.49r  
r0 = 0.46r  
color = vlred  
</plot>
```

```
<plot> #15B
file = COPUSbedfile_RtW_merged.bed
r1 = 0.49r
r0 = 0.46r
color = vdred
</plot>
```

```
<plot> #16A
file = 269karyotype_inbedforbackground.bed
r1 = 0.46r
r0 = 0.43r
color = vlblue
</plot>
```

```
<plot> #16B
file = COPUSbedfile_PQ_merged.bed
r1 = 0.46r
r0 = 0.43r
color = vdblue
</plot>
```

```
<plot> #17A
file = 269karyotype_inbedforbackground.bed
r1 = 0.43r
r0 = 0.40r
color = vlorange
</plot>
```

```
<plot> #17B
file = COPUSbedfile_IAnQ_merged.bed
r1 = 0.43r
r0 = 0.40r
color = vdorange
</plot>
```

```
<plot> #18A
file = 269karyotype_inbedforbackground.bed
r1 = 0.40r
r0 = 0.37r
color = vlgreen
</plot>
```

```
<plot> #18B
file = COPUSbedfile_AdM_merged.bed
r1 = 0.40r
r0 = 0.37r
color = vdgreen
</plot>
```

```
<plot> #19A
file = 269karyotype_inbedforbackground.bed
r1 = 0.37r
r0 = 0.34r
color = vlpurple
```

</plot>

<plot> #19B  
file = COPUSbedfile\_MG\_merged. bed  
r1 = 0.37r  
r0 = 0.34r  
color = vdpurple  
</plot>

<plot> #20A  
file = 269karyotype\_inbedforbackground. bed  
r1 = 0.34r  
r0 = 0.31r  
color = vlred  
</plot>

<plot> #20B  
file = COPUSbedfile\_1o1\_merged. bed  
r1 = 0.34r  
r0 = 0.31r  
color = vdred  
</plot>

<plot> #21A  
file = 269karyotype\_inbedforbackground. bed  
r1 = 0.31r  
r0 = 0.28r  
color = vlblue  
</plot>

<plot> #21B  
file = COPUSbedfile\_DV\_merged. bed  
r1 = 0.31r  
r0 = 0.28r  
color = vdblue  
</plot>

<plot> #22A  
file = 269karyotype\_inbedforbackground. bed  
r1 = 0.28r  
r0 = 0.25r  
color = vlorange  
</plot>

<plot> #22B  
file = COPUSbedfile\_IW\_merged. bed  
r1 = 0.28r  
r0 = 0.25r  
color = vdorange  
</plot>

<plot> #23A  
file = 269karyotype\_inbedforbackground. bed  
r1 = 0.25r

```
r0 = 0.22r  
color = vlgreen  
</plot>
```

```
<plot> #23B  
file = COPUSbedfile_I0_merged.bed  
r1 = 0.25r  
r0 = 0.22r  
color = vdgreen  
</plot>
```

```
</plots>  
<<include housekeeping.conf>>
```

## Supplemental Material References

- Amidon, E.J., & Flanders, N.A. (1967). *The Role of the Teacher in the Classroom*. Minneapolis, Minnesota: Association for Productive Teaching.
- Eddy, S.L., Converse, M., & Wenderoth, M. (2015). PORTAAL: A Classroom Observation Tool Assessing Evidence-Based Teaching Practices for Active Learning in Large Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics Classes. *CBE-Life Sciences Education*, 14(2), ar23. <https://doi.org/10.1187/cbe.14-06-0095>
- Harris, A.H., & Cox, M.F. (2003). Developing an Observation System to Capture Instructional Differences in Engineering Classrooms. *Journal of Engineering Education*, 92(4), 329–336. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2168-9830.2003.tb00777.x>
- Hora, M.T., & Ferrare, J.J. (2013). Instructional Systems of Practice: A Multidimensional Analysis of Math and Science Undergraduate Course Planning and Classroom Teaching. *Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 22(2), 212–257. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10508406.2012.729767>
- Krzywinski, M., Schein, J., Birol, İ., Connors, J., Gascoyne, R., Horsman, D., Jones, S.J., & Marra, M.A. (2009). Circos: An information aesthetic for comparative genomics. *Genome Research*, 19(9), 1639–1645. <https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.092759.109>
- Lund, T.J., Pilarz, M., Velasco, J.B., Chakraverty, D., Rosploch, K., Undersander, M., & Stains, M. (2015). The Best of Both Worlds: Building on the COPUS and RTOP Observation Protocols to Easily and Reliably Measure Various Levels of Reformed Instructional Practice. *CBE-Life Sciences Education*, 14(2), ar18. <https://doi.org/10.1187/cbe.14-10-0168>
- Owens, M.T., Seidel, S.B., Wong, M., Bejines, T.E., Lietz, S., Perez, J.R., ... & Tanner, K.D. (2017). Classroom sound can be used to classify teaching practices in college science courses. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 114(12), 3085–3090. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1618693114>
- Picard, C.L., & Gehring, M. (2017). Proximal methylation features associated with nonrandom changes in gene body methylation. *Genome Biology*, 18(1), 73. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-017-1206-2>
- Quinlan, A.R., & Hall, I.M. (2010). BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features. *Bioinformatics*, 26(6), 841–842. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq033>

R Core Team (2016). R: A language and environment for statistical computing, Version 3.3.2. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. <https://www.R-project.org/>

Velasco, J.B., Knedeisen, A., Xue, D., Vickrey, T.L., Abebe, M., & Stains, M. (2016). Characterizing Instructional Practices in the Laboratory: The Laboratory Observation Protocol for Undergraduate STEM. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 93(7), 1191–1203. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jchemed.6b00062>