Supplemental Material

CBE—Life Sciences Education Rogers *et al*.

Appendix

Table A1
Survey Items for Outcome Measures

Outcome Measure	Survey Questions	Response Categories
Mentoring	Please rate how skilled you feel you were BEFORE	
Competency	attending the research mentor training, and how skilled	
Assessment (MCA)	you feel you are NOW in each of the following areas:	
()	(Think about your skills generally, with all your	
	mentees).	
	1a. Active listening BEFORE	1 = Not at all
	1b. Active listening NOW	skilled
	2a. Providing constructive feedback BEFORE	2
	2b. Providing constructive feedback NOW	3
	3a. Establishing a relationship based on trust BEFORE	4 = Moderately
	3b. Establishing a relationship based on trust NOW	skilled
	4a. Identifying and accommodating different	5
	communication styles BEFORE	6
	4b.Identifying and accommodating different	7 = Extremely
	communication styles NOW	skilled
	5a.Employing strategies to improve communication	
	with mentees BEFORE	
	5b. Employing strategies to improve communication	
	with mentees NOW	
	6a. Coordinating effectively with your mentees' other	
	mentors BEFORE	
	6b.Coordinating effectively with your mentees' other	
	mentors NOW	
	7a. Working with mentees to set clear expectations of	
	the mentoring relationship BEFORE	
	7b. Working with mentees to set clear expectations of	
	the mentoring relationship NOW	
	8a. Aligning your expectations with your mentees'	
	BEFORE	
	8b. Aligning your expectations with your mentees'	
	NOW	
	9a. Considering how personal and professional	
	differences may impact expectations BEFORE 9b. Considering how personal and professional	
	differences may impact expectations NOW	
	10a. Working with mentees to set research goals	
	BEFORE	
	10b. Working with mentees to set research goals	
	NOW	
	11a. Helping mentees develop strategies to meet goals	
	BEFORE	
	11b. Helping mentees develop strategies to meet goals	

- 12a.Accurately estimating your mentees' level of scientific knowledge BEFORE
- 12b. Accurately estimating your mentees' level of scientific knowledge NOW
- 13a. Accurately estimating your mentees' ability to conduct research BEFORE
- 13b. Accurately estimating your mentees' ability to conduct research NOW
- 14a. Employing strategies to enhance your mentees' knowledge and abilities BEFORE
- 14b. Employing strategies to enhance your mentees' knowledge and abilities NOW
 - 15a. Motivating your mentees BEFORE
 - 15b. Motivating your mentees NOW
 - 16a. Building mentees' confidence BEFORE
 - 16b. Building mentees' confidence NOW
 - 17a. Stimulating your mentees' creativity BEFORE
 - 17b. Stimulating your mentees' creativity NOW
- 18a. Acknowledging your mentees' professional contributions BEFORE
- 18b. Acknowledging your mentees' professional contributions NOW
- 19a. Negotiating a path to professional independence with your mentees BEFORE
- 19b. Negotiating a path to professional independence with your mentees NOW
- 20a. Taking into account the biases and prejudices you bring to the mentor/mentee relationship BEFORE
- 20b. Taking into account the biases and prejudices you bring to the mentor/mentee relationship NOW
- 21a. Working effectively with mentees whose personal background is different from your own (age, race, gender, class, region, culture, religion, family composition etc.) BEFORE
- 21b. Working effectively with mentees whose personal background is different from your own (age, race, gender, class, region, culture, religion, family composition etc.) NOW
- 22a. Helping your mentees network effectively BEFORE
 - 22b. Helping your mentees network effectively NOW
 - 23a. Helping your mentees set career goals BEFORE
 - 23b. Helping your mentees set career goals NOW
- 24a. Helping your mentees balance work with their personal life BEFORE
- 24b. Helping your mentees balance work with their personal life NOW
- 25a. Understanding your impact as a role model BEFORE
 - 25b. Understanding your impact as a role model NOW

grants, etc.) BEFORE 26b. Helping your mentees acquire resources (e.g. grants, etc.) NOW Overall Quality of How would you rate the overall quality of the mentoring the Mentoring you are able to provide, thinking back to before the training and now, after the training? 1 = Very lowBefore the training 2 3 4 = AverageAfter the training 5 7 = Very highAbility to Meet To what extent do you feel that you are meeting your Mentees' mentees' expectations thinking back to before the Expectations training and now, after the training? Before the training 1 = Not at all2 3 4 = ModeratelyAfter the training 7 = CompletelyMentor's Intended Have you made any, or do you plan to make any 1 = Yes

changes in your mentoring as a result of this training?

2 = No

Changes to

Mentoring Practices

26a. Helping your mentees acquire resources (e.g.

 Table A2

 Baseline Models using OLS Regression with All Covariates (including all Pre-treatment Covariates)

	MCA Skill Gains	Overall Quality Gains	Meeting Expectation Gains	Changes
(Intercept)	0.945***	1.072***	1.028**	1.002***
	(0.290)	(0.356)	(0.411)	(0.108)
Platform - Online	0.011	-0.045	-0.004	0.055
	(0.087)	(0.110)	(0.130))	(0.033)
Dosage	0.011	0.015	-0.024	0.001
	(0.032)	(0.039)	(0.045	(0.012)
Facilitator Effectiveness				
(compared to "Very Effective")				
Effective	-0.178***	-0.243***	-0.212**	0.067***
	(0.056)	(0.073)	(0.084)	(0.022)
Neither	-0.659***	-1.096***	-0.749***	0.296***
	(0.145)	(0.228)	(0.262)	(0.061)
Ineffective	-0.400	-1.247***	-1.012**	0.340**
	(0.254)	(0.430)	(0.495)	(0.133)
Very Ineffective	-0.363	-0.075	0.071	-0.037
	(0.358)	(0.736)	(0.846)	(0.228)
Missing (NA)	-0.525***	-0.917	-0.687	0.963***
	(0.100)	(0.738)	(0.847)	(0.228)
Race/Ethnicity (compared to "Well				
Represented")				
Historically Excluded	-0.019	0.092	0.006	-0.029
•	(0.062)	(0.087)	(0.108)	(0.027)
Prefer not to answer	0.080	0.057	0.051	0.188***
	(0.199)	(0.218)	(0.276)	(0.065)
Sex (compared to "Female")	, ,			•
Male	-0.018	-0.043	-0.013	-0.004
	(0.051)	(0.070)	(0.084)	(0.021)
Other	-0.102	0.266	0.230	-0.026
	(0.313)	(0.379)	(0.435)	(0.117)

Prefer not to answer	-0.297	0.026	-0.006	-0.021
	(0.251)	(0.283)	(0.348)	(0.086)
Previous Mentor Experience				
(compared to "Yes")				
No	0.227***	0.299***	0.352***	0.003
	(0.071)	(0.087)	(0.101)	(0.026)
Missing (NA)	-0.249	0.760		-0.950***
	(0.183)	(0.752)		(0.232)
Mentor's years of Experience	-0.009**	-0.020***	-0.019***	0.000
Mentor's years of Experience	(0.004)	(0.005)	(0.006)	(0.002)
Monton's viscos of Even minnes (NA)	-0.129	-0.171	-0.099	0.060
Mentor's years of Experience (NA)	(0.141)	(0.147)	(0.200)	(0.045)
Title (compared to "Faculty")				
Graduate	0.296***	0.246**	0.223*	0.019
	(0.081)	(0.111)	(0.132)	(0.034)
Postdoc	0.092	0.219*	0.186	-0.012
	(0.092)	(0.123)	(0.151))	(0.038)
ReaSci	0.091	0.271**	0.192	-0.033
	(0.094)	(0.126)	(0.150)	(0.039)
Other	0.043	-0.063	-0.039	0.001
	(0.099)	(0.122)	(0.143)	(0.038)
NA	0.140	0.069	0.121	-0.044
	(0.282)	(0.339)	(0.391)	(0.105)
Mentees' Career Stage (Faculty)	0.056	0.136	0.120	0.010
Mentees Career Stage (Faculty)	(0.065)	(0.100)	(0.115)	(0.030)
Mentees' Career Stage (Graduate)	-0.140**	-0.120	0.022	0.011
Mentees Career Stage (Graduate)	(0.068)	(0.084)	(0.097)	(0.026)
Monto as' Como an Sto as (Un donomo diveta)	-0.082	-0.138	-0.107	-0.025
Mentees' Career Stage (Undergraduate)	(0.073)	(0.090)	(0.104)	(0.028)
Mentees' Career Stage (None)	0.148	0.227	0.097	0.070
Wientees Career Stage (None)	(0.137)	(0.169)	(0.199)	(0.053)

Notes. Robust standard errors are in parentheses.

^{*} p < .1 ** p < .05 *** p < .01.

 Table A3

 Compare Models using OLS Regression with Selected Covariates with Models using Propensity Score Matching

	MCA Skill Gains		Overall Quality Gains		Meeting I	Meeting Expectation		Changes	
					Gains				
	OLS	PSM	OLS	PSM	OLS	PSM	OLS	PSM	
	Model	Model	Model	Model	Model	Model	Model	Model	
(Intercept)	0.556**	0.344	0.607*	-0.087	0.759**	0.794**	1.024***	1.040***	
	(0.275)	(0.274)	(0.339)	(0.337)	(0.380)	(0.391)	(0.097)	(0.086)	
Platform - Online	0.027	0.114	-0.075	0.128	-0.056	0.098	0.030	0.031	
	(0.082)	(0.090)	(0.106)	(0.110)	(0.121)	(0.128)	(0.030)	(0.028)	
Dosage	0.039	0.064*	0.043	0.113***	-0.007	-0.008	-0.000	-0.001	
	(0.032)	(0.033)	(0.040)	(0.040)	(0.044)	(0.047)	(0.011)	(0.010)	
Facilitator Effectiveness	, ,	,	, ,	(0.0.0)	, ,	(0.0.7)	, ,	(0.010)	
(compared to "Very Effective")									
Effective	-0.162***	-0.134**	-0.203***	-0.131	-0.173**	-0.152	0.067***	0.085***	
	(0.057)	(0.062)	(0.076)	(0.081)	(0.085)	(0.094)	(0.022)	(0.021)	
Neither	-0.669***	-0.630***	-1.069***	-1.073***	-0.741***	-0.811**	0.304***	0.292***	
	(0.150)	(0.175)	(0.236)	(0.281)	(0.264)	(0.324)	(0.060)	(0.066)	
Ineffective	-0.376	-0.398	-1.164***	-1.125**	-0.947*	-1.007*	0.325**	0.426***	
	(0.262)	(0.285)	(0.448)	(0.469)	(0.500)	(0.542)	(0.132)	(0.121)	
Very Ineffective	-0.481	-0.484	-0.259	-0.265	-0.069	-0.049	-0.016	0.003	
•	(0.368)	(0.414)	(0.768)	(0.872)	(0.857)	(1.007)	(0.226)	(0.225)	
Missing (NA)	-0.565***	-0.540***	-0.948	-0.816	-0.700	-0.726	0.977***	0.969***	
	(0.103)	(0.113)	(0.771)	(0.874)	(0.860)	(1.008)	(0.227)	(0.226)	
Race/Ethnicity (compared to "Well Represented")	, ,			, ,	` '	, ,	,	, ,	
Historically Excluded	0.015	0.102*	0.138	0.234***	0.038	0.275***	-0.025	-0.014	
ž	(0.063)	(0.055)	(0.090)	(0.074)	(0.107)	(0.089)	(0.026)	(0.019)	
Prefer not to answer	0.044	-0.012	-0.005	-0.011	-0.013	0.029	0.198***	0.192***	
Tiefer not to unswer	(0.204)	(0.228)	(0.227)	(0.254)	(0.278)	(0.321)	(0.064)	(0.063)	
Sex (compared to "Female")	` /	(3.220)	` /	(3.23.)	,	(3.321)	,	(0.005)	
Male	-0.028	-0.067	-0.068	-0.038	-0.043	-0.058	-0.004	-0.013	
	(0.052)	(0.054)	(0.071)	(0.074)	(0.083)	(0.089)	(0.021)	(0.019)	
Other	-0.202	-0.382	0.099	-0.109	0.154431	-0.075	-0.022	-0.010	
.	(0.320)	(0.364)	(0.390)	(0.443)	0.4347	(0.511)	(0.115)	(0.114)	

Prefer not to answer	-0.394	-0.569**	-0.122	0.125	-0.163	0.142	-0.037	-0.124*
	(0.256)	(0.257)	(0.293)	(0.293)	(0.347)	(0.362)	(0.084)	(0.074)
Previous Mentor Experience (compared to "Yes")		,		,		, ,		,
No	0.281***	0.319***	0.379***	0.487***	0.412***	0.380***	-0.003	-0.022
	(0.072)	(0.070)	(0.090)	(0.087)	(0.100)	(0.100)	(0.026)	(0.022)
Missing (NA)	-0.035 (0.114)	-0.097 (0.122)	1.233 (0.779)	1.296 (0.883)			-0.959*** (0.229)	-0.959*** (0.228)

Notes. Robust standard errors are in parentheses.

^{*} p < .1 ** p < .05 *** p < .01.

 Table A4

 Data Missingness and Strategies to Impute Missing Data of Covariates

Variable	Variable Type	Percent of Missing Values	Imputation Methods
Treatment Indicator	-	-	
Platform	Binary	0	Not Applicable
Pre-treatment Covariates			
Mentees' career stage: Faculty	Binary	0	Not Applicable
Mentees' career stage: Graduate	Binary	0	Not Applicable
Mentees' career stage: Undergraduate	Binary	0	Not Applicable
Mentees' career stage: none	Binary	0	Not Applicable
Race/Ethnicity of mentor	Nominal	0	Not Applicable
Sex of mentor	Nominal	8%	A new category was generated to indicate missing values (NA)
The title of mentor	Nominal	2%	A new category was generated to indicate missing values (NA)
Previous mentoring experience	Binary	34%	A new category was generated to indicate missing values (NA)
Mentor's years of Experience	Continuous	32%	Two new variables of years of experience were generated: 1) a new variable same as years of experience except replacing all missing values as 0, and 2) a binary variable binary variable with 1 indicating the missingness of years of experience and 0 representing that years of experience is not missing
Other Covariates			
Dosage	Continuous	0	Not Applicable
Facilitator Effectiveness	Ordinal	25%	A new category was generated to indicate missing values (NA)

Notes. The total analytical sample is 807. See more details of the analytical sample size in Figure 1.

Table A5Number of Treated and Control Subjects in Each Stratum Using Optimal Full Matching

Stratu	Treatment	Control Group	Stratu	Treatment	Control Group
m	(Online)	(Face-to-face)	m	(Online)	(Face-to-face)
m.1	5	1	m.29	1	5
m.10	2	1	m.3	1	12
m.100	2	1	m.30	1	11
m.101	1	1	m.31	1	2
m.104	1	52	m.36	1	2
m.106	2	1	m.37	1	2
m.107	1	3	m.39	1	1
m.109	1	1	m.43	1	12
m.11	1	14	m.46	4	1
m.110	1	12	m.5	1	9
m.111	6	1	m.51	1	1
m.112	1	1	m.57	12	1
m.115	2	1	m.62	6	1
m.116	1	45	m.64	5	1
m.118	5	1	m.65	1	4
m.120	3	1	m.66	4	1
m.122	1	17	m.67	1	48
m.125	1	25	m.69	1	20
m.13	3	1	m.71	5	1
m.130	6	1	m.73	1	1
m.132	1	271	m.74	1	1
m.138	2	1	m.76	5	1
m.142	1	1	m.77	1	1
m.149	1	1	m.79	1	1
m.15	1	8	m.8	2	1
m.151	1	5	m.82	1	2
m.152	1	9	m.86	4	1
m.16	1	1	m.87	6	1
m.17	2	1	m.91	7	1
m.2	1	1	m.96	1	1
m.20	1	25	m.98	3	1
m.24	8	1	m.99	3	1

Notes. The total number of subjects is 152 for treatment group and 655 for control group.